UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	Case Number
GREGG COX	
Plaintiff))
VS.) CIVIL COMPLAINT
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.)))
Defendant)) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED) _)

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Gregg Cox, by and through his undersigned counsel, Brent F. Vullings, Esquire and Bruce K. Warren, Esquire of Warren & Vullings, LLP, complaining of Defendant, and respectfully aver as follows:

I. <u>INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT</u>

1. Plaintiff, Gregg Cox, is an adult natural person and bring this action for actual and statutory damages and other relief against Defendant for violations to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA")

II. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C.
 § 1337.

3. Venue in this District is proper in that the Defendant transacts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and its principal place of business is in this district.

III. PARTIES

- Plaintiff, Gregg Cox, is an adult natural person residing at 100 W.
 Kentucky St., Trenton, IL 62293.
- 5. Defendant, NCO Financial Systems, Inc. ("Defendant"), at all times relevant hereto, is and was a corporation engaged in the business of collecting debt within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with its principal place of business located at 507 Prudential Rd., Horsham, PA 19044-2308.
- 6. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone. Defendant regularly attempts to collect consumer debts alleged to be due to another. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Beginning mid July, 2009 Plaintiff started receiving phone calls from Defendant's agents in regards to an alleged consumer debt owed to NCO Financial Systems, Inc. for someone by the name of "Jane".
 - 8. Defendant is calling Plaintiff's home five to seven times a day.
- 9. Plaintiff contacted Defendant and asked that his phone number be removed from Defendant's database.

- 10. Defendant replied to Plaintiff that his phone number was not on their database.
- 11. Defendant proceeded to call the Plaintiff the very next day, and are now asking for a "Cory".
- 12. Plaintiff called Defendant again and was now told that it would take twenty four hours for the database to update.
- 13. Defendant's agents are still continually calling Plaintiff's home every day for an alleged debt that does not belong to Plaintiff.
- 14. The Defendant acted in a deceptive and unfair manner when they engaged in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress or abuse Defendant in connection with the collection of a debt, that is not his.
- 15. The Defendant knew or should have known that their actions violated the FDCPA. Additionally, Defendant could have taken the steps necessary to bring their and their agent's actions within compliance of the FDCPA, but neglected to do so and failed to adequately review those actions to ensure compliance with the law.
- 16. At all times pertinent hereto, the conduct of Defendant as well as its agents, servants and/or employees, was malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, negligent and in wanton disregard for federal and state law and the rights of the Plaintiffs herein.
- 17. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiffs have sustained actual damages, including, but not limited to, injury to Plaintiff's reputation, invasion of privacy, damage to Plaintiff's credit, out-of-pocket expenses, physical, emotional and mental pain and anguish and pecuniary loss and he will continue to suffer same for an indefinite time in the future, all to his great detriment and loss.

COUNT 1 – FDCPA

- 18. The above paragraphs are hereby incorporated herein by reference.
- 19. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged debt which was incurred by Plaintiffs for personal, family or household purposes and is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 20. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute violations of the FDCPA, including but not limited to, violations of §§ 1692b, b(3), d, d(5), e, f.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that judgment be entered against the Defendants, NCO Financial Systems, Inc. for the following:

- Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA and declaratory and injunctive relief for the Defendant's violations of the state Act;
- b. Actual damages;
- c. Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
- d. Reasonable attorney's fees and litigation expenses, plus costs of suit; and
- e. Such additional and further relief as may be appropriate or that the interests of justice require.

V. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial as to all issues herein.

Respectfully submitted,

WARREN & VULLINGS, LLP

Date: August 5, 2009

BY: /s/ Brent F. Vullings
Brent F. Vullings, Esquire

: /s/ Bruce K. Warren Bruce K. Warren, Esquire

Warren & Vullings, LLP 1603 Rhawn Street Philadelphia, PA 19111 215-745-9800 Fax 215-745-7880 Attorney for Plaintiff